United States Department of Agriculture



Natural Resources Conservation Service P.O. Box 2890 Washington, D.C. 20013

January 7, 2004

EVED

JAN 1 2 2004

Carl D. Camacho, P.E. Project Manager Bernardin-Lochmueller & Associates, Inc. 7830 Rockville Rd. Suite C Indianapolis, IN 46214-3105

TO ALMOV

RE: BLA Project No. 201-0101 US 31 - Plymouth to South Bend Marshall and St. Joseph Counties, Indiana

Dear Mr. Camacho:

Enclosed is the completed AD-1006 and/or questionnaire from the Natural Resources Conservation Service for the above named project. The proposed project will cause a conversion of prime farmland.

The attached packet of information is for your use in completing Parts VI and VII of the AD-1006. After completion, the federal funding agency needs to forward one copy to NRCS for our records.

If you need additional information, please contact Phil Bousman, at (317) 290-3200, extension 385.

Sincerely,

ACTING FOR

JANE E. HARDISTY
State Conservationist

Michael a. Cox

Enclosures

U.S. Department of Agriculture

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)		Date Of L	and Evaluation F	Request			
Name Of Project US 31 - Plymouth to South Bend Federal Ac			Agency Involved FHWA				
Proposed Land Use Freeway Highway system	m	County Ar	nd State Mars	shall and St. Jos	seph Counties	, IN	
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)	: 31	Date Requ	est Received B	y NRCS 12/2	2103		
Does the site contain prime, unique, statewing (If no, the FPPA does not apply — do not contain the contains and the contains are contained in the contains and the contains are contained in the contained in the contains are contained in the contains are contained in the co	de or local important fai	rmland? of this form	Yes	No Acres Irrig			
Major Crop(s)	Farmable Land in G	ovt. Jurisdictio	on		Farmland As D	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Com	Acres: 583,309)	% 85	Acres:	423,827	% 73	
Name Of Land Evaluation System Used LESA	Name Of Local Site	Assessment 8	System	Date Land	Evaluation Retu	med By NRCS	
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)					e Site Rating		
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly		_	Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D	
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly			696.0	631.8	714.5		
C. Total Acres In Site			1000 0	604.0	7145		
			696.0	631.8	714.5	0.0	
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land E	valuation Information				ļ		
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland			450.2	416.7	556.3		
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Importa	ant Farmland		49.0	50.4	40.5		
C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or L			0.119	0.108	0.122		
D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction	With Same Or Higher Rela	ative Value	52.0	52.0	44.0		
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Ev Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Cor		00 Points)	70	69	72	0	
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained		Maximum Points					
Area In Nonurban Use							
Perimeter in Nonurban Use							
Percent Of Site Being Farmed							
4. Protection Provided By State And Local	Government						
Distance From Urban Builtup Area							
6. Distance To Urban Support Services							
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To	Average		_				
8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland		<u> </u>					
9. Availability Of Farm Support Services							
10. On-Farm Investments					†		
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support	Services			 -	- -		
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural U							
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS		160	0	0	0	0	
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency,)						
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)		100				0	
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a lo site assessment)	cal	160	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260	0	0	0	0	
Site Selected:	Date Of Selection				ite Assessment es 🔲	Used? No □	

Reason For Selection:

Site A ≈ Alternative C

Site B = Alternative E

Site C = Alternative G-C

Name of Organization requesting early coordination: Indiana Department of Transportation OUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE 1) Are the drainage courses within the project area subject to (x) siltation, (x) erosion, or () pollution? Identify and describe: \(\int_{\text{Transportation}} \) \(\text{Transportation} \) \(Transport	Pro	ject No.	201-0101	-OE 0		Bridge	e No	· .				
OUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE 1) Are the drainage courses within the project area subject to (x) siltation, (y) erosion, or () pollution? Identify and describe: Pranage Courses are susceptible to susceptible to susceptible to susceptible to susceptible to securing and shapping. This causes siltation in the creeks, streems and districts. 2) Are the soils within the project area susceptible to (x) erosion, (), landslides, or (x) settlement? Describe the degree of each: The streeper slopes are susceptible to water evasion. The mark scils will settle if obvainage lewers the water table. The mark sand series will have wind eros are. 3) Is detailed soil survey information available? (y) If so, where is this information available? 5605 U.S. 31 South, Suite 4 South Bend, IN 46619 4) Is there any project in existence or in the planning stage where a conflict of purpose would be created? Where is the problem area? () watershed project, () group drainage system, () other. At what stage is the project? Near Known What should be done to make the project compatible or complementary?	Pro	ject Description	<u>us 3</u>	31 -	Ply.	mouth	to So	with k	Bend			_
OUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE 1) Are the drainage courses within the project area subject to (x) siltation, (y) erosion, or () pollution? Identify and describe: Pranage Courses are susceptible to susceptible to susceptible to susceptible to susceptible to securing and shapping. This causes siltation in the creeks, streems and districts. 2) Are the soils within the project area susceptible to (x) erosion, (), landslides, or (x) settlement? Describe the degree of each: The streeper slopes are susceptible to water evasion. The mark scils will settle if obvainage lewers the water table. The mark sand series will have wind eros are. 3) Is detailed soil survey information available? (y) If so, where is this information available? 5605 U.S. 31 South, Suite 4 South Bend, IN 46619 4) Is there any project in existence or in the planning stage where a conflict of purpose would be created? Where is the problem area? () watershed project, () group drainage system, () other. At what stage is the project? Near Known What should be done to make the project compatible or complementary?									· ·	· ·	<u></u>	<u>.</u>
OUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE 1) Are the drainage courses within the project area subject to (x) siltation, (y) erosion, or () pollution? Identify and describe: Drainage Courses are susceptible to securing and shoughing. This causes siltation in the creeks, streams, and districts. 2) Are the soils within the project area susceptible to (x) erosion, (), landslides, or (x) settlement? Describe the degree of each: The streets slopes are susceptible to (x) erosion, (), landslides, or (x) settlement? Describe the degree of each: The streets slopes are susceptible to (x) erosion, (), landslides, or (x) Settlement? Describe the degree of each: The streets slopes are susceptible to (x) erosion, (), landslides, or (x) Settlement? Describe the degree of each: The streets slopes are susceptible to (x) erosion, (), landslides, or (x) Settlement? Describe the degree of each: The streets slopes are susceptible to (x) erosion, (), landslides, or (x) Settlement? Describe the degree of each: The streets slopes are susceptible to (x) erosion, (), landslides, or (x) Settlement? Describe the degree of each: The streets slopes are susceptible to (x) erosion, (), landslides, or (x) Settlement? Describe the degree of each: The streets slopes are susceptible to (x) erosion, (), landslides, or (x) Settlement? Describe the scalar slopes are susceptible to (x) erosion, (x) Settlement? Describe the scalar slopes are susceptible to (x) erosion, (x) Settlement? Describe the scalar slopes are susceptible to (x) erosion, (x) Settlement? Describe the scalar slopes are susceptible to (x) erosion, (x) Settlement? Describe the scalar slopes are susceptible to (x) erosion, (x) Settlement? Describe the scalar slopes are susceptible to (x) erosion, (x) Settlement? Settlement. Susceptible to (x) erosion, (x) Settlement? Settlement.	Nar	ne of Organizatio	n requestin	ng early	coordir	nation:						
1) Are the drainage courses within the project area subject to (x) siltation, (x) erosion, or () pollution? Identify and describe:			Indiana	Dep	urtne	ntof	Trans	portal	Lion		·-·-·	
1) Are the drainage courses within the project area subject to (x) siltation, (x) erosion, or () pollution? Identify and describe:								•				
pollution? Identify and describe: <u>Pramage courses are susceptible to securing and sleaghing</u> , This causes sittation in the coechs, streams and ditches. 2) Are the soils within the project area susceptible to (X) erosion, (), landslides, or (X) settlement? Describe the degree of each: <u>The steeper stepes are susceptible to (X) erosion, (X) settlement? Describe the degree of each: The steeper stepes are susceptible to (X) erosion, (X) settlement? Describe the degree of each: The steeper stepes are susceptible to (X) erosion, (X) settlement? Describe the degree of each: The steeper stepes are susceptible to (X) erosion, (X) settlement? The mack scale with step is the foliation of the steeper steeper stepes are susceptible to (X) erosion, (X) settlement? It is a susceptible to (X) erosion, (X) erosion, (X) settlement? It is a susceptible to (X) erosion, (X) erosion,</u>	9	<u>QUESTIONNAIR</u>	<u>E FOR TH</u>	HE NAT	<u>URAL</u>	<u>RESO</u>	URCES	CONS	ERVA	TION S	ERVICE	
pollution? Identify and describe: <u>Pramage courses are susceptible to securing and sleaghing</u> , This causes sittation in the coechs, streams and ditches. 2) Are the soils within the project area susceptible to (X) erosion, (), landslides, or (X) settlement? Describe the degree of each: <u>The steeper stepes are susceptible to (X) erosion, (X) settlement? Describe the degree of each: The steeper stepes are susceptible to (X) erosion, (X) settlement? Describe the degree of each: The steeper stepes are susceptible to (X) erosion, (X) settlement? Describe the degree of each: The steeper stepes are susceptible to (X) erosion, (X) settlement? The mack scale with step is the foliation of the steeper steeper stepes are susceptible to (X) erosion, (X) settlement? It is a susceptible to (X) erosion, (X) erosion, (X) settlement? It is a susceptible to (X) erosion, (X) erosion,</u>												
settlement? Describe the degree of each: The steeper stopes are susceptible to water evosion. The mark soils will settle if drainings toward the water table. The mark sand soils will have wind evosion. 3) Is detailed soil survey information available? (y) If so, where is this information available? 5605 45.31 South, Suite 4 South Bend, IN 46614 4) Is there any project in existence or in the planning stage where a conflict of purpose would be created? Where is the problem area? () watershed project, () group drainage system, () other. At what stage is the project? Never Known What should be done to make the project compatible or complementary?	1)	pollution? Iden	tify and des	scribe: 🕽) raing	ige Co	urses a	re 54.	sueptibl	, (K) ero	osion, or	() : <u>nd</u> —
4) Is there any project in existence or in the planning stage where a conflict of purpose would be created? Where is the problem area? () watershed project, () group drainage system, () other. At what stage is the project? Nene Known What should be done to make the project compatible or complementary?	2)	Are the soils we settlement? Des	vithin the poscribe the de The much	project a legree of K seils a Levos ex	rea su: each:	sceptible The solution	le to (X) Heefer	erosio	on, (), arcs	landsl uscep suter to	ides, or (t ble to ble, the	X) <u></u> <u></u>
be created? Where is the problem area? () watershed project, () group drainage system, () other. At what stage is the project? Nene Knewn What should be done to make the project compatible or complementary?	3)	Is detailed soil so	urvey inform South, Su	mation a	vailabl	e? (y) South	If so, w Bend	here is	this info	ormatio	n availabl	le? —
be created? Where is the problem area? () watershed project, () group drainage system, () other. At what stage is the project? Nene Knewn What should be done to make the project compatible or complementary?					<u> </u>			-			<u></u>	_
	4)	be created? Wh	ere is the p	roblem a	rea? () wate	ershed pi	roject,				
5) Are major land use changes taking place in the project area (N)? Describe:		What should be	done to ma	ake the p	roject	compa	ible or o	comple	mentary	7?		
5) Are major land use changes taking place in the project area (N)? Describe:							•	<u> </u>				_
	5)	Are major land	use changes	s taking	place i	n the p	roject ar	rea (N)	? Desc	ribe:		
6) Is the general agricultural economy of the area (x) stable, () declining, or () increasing?	െ	Is the general ag	ricultural e	conomy	of the	area (¥) stable.	, () de	eclining	, or ()	increasin	ıg?

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE (continued)

7)	en US 31 (which is afrablem and causes many series accidents).
	on US 31 (which is afroblem and causes many serious accordents).
8)	Is this prime farmland? (X) yes () no. If so, estimate the number of acres that will be affected: A/t . $C = 3.70$ A/t . $E = 3.75$ A/t . $F = 3.75$ A/t . $E = 3.75$ A/t .
9)	Is this farmland of statewide importance? (X) yes () no. If so, estimate the number of acres that will be affected: $AHC = 49$ $AHC = 50$ $AHC = 30$
Thi	s information was furnished by:
Nai	me: Troy Manger Title: Country Conservationist
Ad	dress: 5605 4531 South, Suite 4 South Bend, IN 46614
	one: (574) 291 - 2300 ext. 3 Date: 12-30-03

U.S. Department of Agriculture

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

						
PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)		Date Of L	and Evaluation F	Request	······································	
			gency Involved	FHWA		<u></u>
Proposed Land Use Freeway Highway system County Ar			01 - 1 -	hall and St. Jos	seph Counties.	IN
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)		Date Requ	uest Received By		1 11	
Does the site contain prime, unique, statewide o (If no, the FPPA does not apply do not compl	r local important farm	land? f this form	Yes	No Acres Irriga		
Major Crop(s)	Farmable Land In Gov	-	. —		Farmland As De	
Corn	Acres: 583,309		% 85	Acres:	423,827	% 73
Name Of Land Evaluation System Used LESA	Name Of Local Site As	sessment S	System	Date Land	Evaluation Retun	
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)	<u> </u>		874.4		e Site Rating	
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly			Site A 696.0	Site B 631.8	Site C	Site D
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly			1090.0	031.6	714.5	
C. Total Acres In Site			696.0	631.8	714.5	0.0
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evalua	ation Information		000.0	1031.8	714.5	0.0
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland			450.2	416.7	F50.0	
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important F	armland		49.0	50.4	556.3	
C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local		verted	0.119	0.108	40.5 0.12-Z	
D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With	Same Or Higher Relative	e Value	52.0	52.0	·	<u>-</u>
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evalua Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converte	tion Criterion		70	69	72	0
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 (CFR 658.5(b)	/laximum Points				
Area In Nonurban Use						
Perimeter In Nonurban Use			· -	-		
Percent Of Site Being Farmed						-
Protection Provided By State And Local Gove	ernment					
5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area						
Distance To Urban Support Services				-	-	 -
Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Ave	rage	_		<u> </u>		
Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland		_		 	 	
Availability Of Farm Support Services						
10. On-Farm Investments				-		
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Servi	ices			-	-	
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use				_		
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS		160	0	0	0	0
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)						
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)		100				0
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)	-	160	0	0	0	0
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260	0	0	0	0
Site Selected: Date	e Of Selection	· ·		Was A Local Site		ed?
Reason For Selection:				163	<u> </u>	

Site A = Alternative C

Site B = Alternative E

Site C = Alternative G-C

Project No.	201-0101-0E0 Bridge No.
Project Description	45 31 - Plymouth to South Bend
Name of Organizatio	on requesting early coordination:
	Indiana Department of Transportation
<u>QUESTIONNAIR</u>	E FOR THE NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE
pollution? Ident	courses within the project area subject to (x) siltation, (x) erosion, or () ify and describe: Drainage Courses are susceptible to scouring and gases 5: Italian in the creeks, streams and detakes,
2) Are the soils w settlement? Desi Water evesion, Sand soils will	ithin the project area susceptible to (X) erosion, (), landslides, or (X) cribe the degree of each: The steeper slopes are susceptible to The mack soils will settle if alranage lowers thewater table. The mack have wined eves ion.
3) Is detailed soil su 5605 45.31	rvey information available? (y) If so, where is this information available? South, Suite 4 South Bond, IN 46614
be created? Whe	ect in existence or in the planning stage where a conflict of purpose would re is the problem area? () watershed project, () group drainage system, nat stage is the project?
What should be o	lone to make the project compatible or complementary?
) Are major land u	se changes taking place in the project area (N)? Describe:
) Is the general agr	icultural economy of the area (X) stable, () declining, or () increasing?

<u>OUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE</u> (continued)

7)	on US 31 (which is afroblem and causes many serieus accidents).
8)	Is this prime farmland? (%) yes () no. If so, estimate the number of acres that will be affected: A/t . $C = 3.70$ A/t , $E = 3.75$ A/t , $F = 3.75$ A/t , $E = 3.75$ A/t , $E = 3.75$ A/t , $E = 3.75$
9)	Is this farmland of statewide importance? (χ) yes () no. If so, estimate the number of acres that will be affected: $AHC = 49$ $AHF = 50$ $AHF = 50$ $AHF = 30$
This	s information was furnished by:
Nan	ne: Troy Manges Title: County Conservationist
	ress: 5605 45.31 South, Suite 4 South Bend, IN 46614
Phoi	ne: (574) 291 - 2300 ext, 3 Date: 12-30-03

FARMLAND PROTECTION POLICY ACT FPPA

This information is included for your consideration in completing sections I, III, VI and VII of Form AD-1006.

Natural Resources Conservation Service 6013 Lakeside Boulevard Indianapolis, IN 46278

Phil Bousman (317) 290-3220 ext. 385

		·	
		·	

401.24 Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) Rule.

- (c) The Act and these regulations do not authorize the Federal Government in any way to regulate the use of private or non-Federal land, or in any way affect the property rights of owners of such land. In cases where either a private party or a non-Federal unit of government applies for Federal assistance to convert farmland to a nonagricultural use, the Federal agency should use the criteria set forth in this part to identify and take into account any adverse effects on farmland of the assistance requested and develop alternative actions that would avoid or mitigate such adverse effects. If, after consideration of the adverse effects and suggested alternatives, the landowners want to proceed with conversion, the Federal agency, on the basis of the analysis set forth in Sec. 658.4 and any agency policies or procedures for implementing the Act, may provide or deny the requested assistance. Only assistance and actions that would convert farmland to nonagricultural uses are subject to this Act. Assistance and actions related to the purchase, maintenance, renovation, or replacement of existing structures and sites converted prior to the time of an application for assistance from a Federal agency, including assistance and actions related to the construction of minor new ancillary structures (such as garages or sheds), are not subject to the Act.
- (d) Section 1548 of the Act, as amended, 7 U.S.C. 4209, states that the Act shall not be deemed to provide a basis for any action, either legal or equitable, by any person or class of persons challenging a Federal project, program, or other activity that may affect farmland. Neither the Act nor this rule, therefore, shall afford any basis for such an action. However, as further provided in section 1548, the governor of an affected state, where a state policy or program exists to protect farmland, may bring an action in the Federal district court of the district where a Federal program is proposed to enforce the requirements of section 1541 of the Act, 7 U.S.C. 4202, and regulations issued pursuant to that section.

Sec. 658.4 Guidelines for use of criteria.

As stated above and as provided in the Act, each Federal agency shall use the criteria provided in Sec. 658.5 to identify and take into account the adverse effects of Federal programs on the protection of farmland. The agencies are to consider alternative actions, as appropriate, that could lessen such adverse effects, and assure that such Federal programs, to the extent practicable, are compatible with State, unit of local government and private programs and policies to protect farmland. The following are guidelines to assist the agencies in these tasks:

- (a) An agency may determine whether or not a site is farmland as defined in Sec. 658.2(a) or the agency may request that NRCS make such a determination. If an agency elects not to make its own determination, it should make a request to NRCS on Form AD-1006, the Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Form, available at NRCS offices, for determination of whether the site is farmland subject to the Act. If neither the entire site nor any part of it is subject to the Act, then the Act will not apply and NRCS will so notify the agency. If the site is determined by NRCS to be subject to the Act, then NRCS will measure the relative value of the site as farmland on a scale of 0 to 100 according to the information sources listed in Sec. 658.5(a). NRCS will respond to these requests within 10 working days of their receipt except that in cases where a site visit or land evaluation system design is needed, NRCS will respond in 30 working days. In the event that NRCS fails to complete its response within the required period, if further delay would interfere with construction activities; the agency should proceed as though the site were not farmland.
- (b) The Form AD 1006, returned to the agency by NRCS will also include the following incidental information: The total amount of farmable land (the land in the unit of local government's jurisdiction that is capable of producing the commonly grown crop); the percentage of the jurisdiction that is farmland

401.24 Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) Rule.

- (g) To meet reporting requirements of section 1546 of the Act, 7 and for data collection purposes, after the agency has made a final decision on a project in which one or more of the alternative sites contain farmland subject to the FPPA, the agency is requested to return a copy of the Form AD-1006, which indicates the final decision of the agency, to the NRCS field office.
- (h) Once a Federal agency has performed an analysis under the FPPA for the conversion of a site, that agency's, or a second Federal agency's determination with regard to additional assistance or actions on the same site do not require additional redundant FPPA analysis.

Sec. 658.5 Criteria.

This section state the criteria required by section 1541(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. 4202(a). The criteria were developed by the Secretary of Agriculture in cooperation with other Federal agencies. They are in two parts, (1) the land evaluation criterion, relative value, for which NRCS will provide the rating or score, and (2) the site assessment criteria, for which each Federal agency must develop its own ratings or scores.

The criteria are as follows:

- (a) Land Evaluation Criterion--Relative Value. The land evaluation criterion is based on information from several sources including national cooperative soil surveys or other acceptable soil surveys, NRCS field office technical guides, soil potential ratings or soil productivity ratings, land capability classifications, and important farmland determinations. Based on this information, groups of soils within a local government's jurisdiction will be evaluated and assigned a score between 0 to 100, representing the relative value, for agricultural production, of the farmland to be converted by the project compared to other farmland in the same local government jurisdiction, This score will be the Relative Value Rating on Form AD 1006.
- (b) Site Assessment Criteria. Federal agencies are to use the following criteria to assess the suitability of each proposed site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the score from the land evaluation criterion described in Sec. 658.5(a). Each criterion will be given a score on a scale of 0 to the maximum points shown. Conditions suggesting top, intermediate and bottom scores are indicated for each criterion. The agency would make scoring decisions in the context of each proposed site or alternative action by examining the site, the surrounding area, and the programs and policies of the State or local unit of government in which the site is located. Where one given location has more than one design alternative, each design should be considered as an alternative site. The site assessment criteria are:
 - (1) How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?

More than 90 percent--15 points 90 to 20 percent--14 to 1 point(s) Less than 20 percent--0 points

(2) How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?

More than 90 percent--10 points 90 to 20 percent--9 to 1 point(s) Less than 20 percent--0 points

(3) How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than 5 of the last 10 years?

401-35

401.24 Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) Rule.

All required services are available--5 points
Some required services are available--4 to 1 point(s)
No required services are available--0 points

(10) Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage buildings, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?

High amount of on-farm investment--20 points Moderate amount of on-farm investment--19 to 1 point(s) No on-farm investment--0 points

(11) Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?

Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted--10 points Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted--9 to 1 point(s) No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted--0 points

(12) Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?

Proposed project is incompatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland--10 points Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland--9 to 1 point(s) Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland--0 points

- (c) Corridor-type Site Assessment Criteria. The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor-type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor-type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information described in Sec. 658.4(a). All criteria for corridor-type sites will be scored as shown in Sec. 658.5(b) for other sites, except as noted below:
 - (I) Criteria 5 and 6 will not be considered.
- (2) Criterion 8 will be scored on a scale of 0 to 25 points, and criterion 11 will be scored on a scale of 0 to 25 points.

Form AD-1006

J Sale S.	`		-	
		tion Reque	s:	. <u> </u>
	Agency involv	νd ————	== <u></u>	
County A	Avid State			
Date Re	quesi Receiv	ed By NRC	is .	
ortant farmland?	Yes	:	Acres Imgaled A	wurage Farm Size
rial parts of this for		\Box ;	<u>. </u>	
and in Govi, Junsoin	dion %			and As Defined in FPPA:
ocal Site Assessment		:		ion Returned By NRCS
·			Alternative Site I	Return
	Sie /	A		Sile C Sile D
				
-1- 5	io.o	0.0	10.0	
nation	T			
		 -		
,	1			
To Be Convened		· ·		
on of 0 to 100 Points)	o	0'	:0	ю
	1			-
Maximum Points	i			:
! ===	i			
10	1		••••	
20	- 			
20				
15	ī .,			.!
1.5	<u>.</u>			
10_		· .		<u>-</u>
10				
5	·			
			<u>i.</u>	
			T	
—— ———				
160	0	0	0	:0
!				
, 100	C	0		n
 ;			·	0
	0	0	O A Loggy Site Assess	:0
tion		A132	i A Local Site Asse: Yes 🔲	ssment Used? No 🔲
	ritant farmland? rial pains of this force and in Govt. Jurisdict ocal Site Assessment ocal Si	intant farmland? Yes all pains of this form). The pains of this form). The pains of this form is and in Govt. Jurisdiction % Ocal Site Assessment System O to Be Converted gher Relative Value in O to 100 Points O O O O O O O O O	Sin A	Amount Of Family Acres Amount Of Family Acres



Completing the AD-1006/CPA-106

- Where to find the form: The form should be provided by the originating agency. NRCS has this as a paper copy or on a CD.
- NRCS is initiating an electronic submission process for the AD-1006. Maps and specific site information will still be needed to process the request. The electronic submission process will facilitate tracking and reporting responsibilities.

Steps in processing the AD-1006/CPA-106

- Originating agency: complete parts I and III and send to NRCS
- NRCS: Consider using register to track AD1006/CPA106 (see exhibit)
- NRCS will complete parts II, IV, V
- NRCS steps to complete form
- Part II: date received by NRCS

 Information in this section should be in the local field office. If this is not available, contact soils section in state office for guidance.
- Part IV. This information should be in local field office. If this is not available, contact appropriate soil scientist,
- Part V. This information should be in the local field office. If it is not available, contact appropriate soil scientist.
- NRCS returns AD-1006/CPA-106 to originating agency
- Part VI and VII: completed by originating agency (section 658.5 of Farmland Protection Policy Act list the specific criteria for scoring)
- Alternative Site Rating: If the total SA and LE score exceeds 160 alternative sites must be considered. 404.5 (310-GM) requires 2 alternatives for scores between 160 and 220 and 3 alternatives for scores over 220.
- Originating agency returns completed form to NRCS

Completing Form AD-1006, Steps 1-7

- Step 1. Federal, agencies involved in proposed projects that may convert farmland, as defined in the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) to nonagricultural used, will initially complete Parts I and III of this form.
- Step 2. Originator will send three copies of AD 1006 together with maps indicating locations of the site(s) to the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) local field office and retain one copy for your files. A list of NRCS field offices is available from the NRCS State Conservationist in each or from the NRCS website.
- Step 3. NRCS will return 2 copies of the AD1006 to the originating federal agency within 10 working days of receipt of the request unless a land evaluation has not been completed or a site visit is required (30 working days are allowed if a land evaluation must be completed or a site visit must be made). If more than 10 days are required, NRCS will notify the agency of the need for additional time, up to 30 working days. See exhibit 403.26.
- **Step 4.** In cases where farmland covered by the FPPA will be converted by the proposed project; NRCS field offices will complete parts II, IV, and V of the form.
- Step 5. NRCS will return 2 copies of the form to the federal agency involved in the project. (One copy will be retained for NRCS records).
- **Step 6.** The federal agency involved in the proposed project will complete parts VI and VII of the form.
- Step 7. The federal agency involved in the proposed project will make a determination as to whether the proposed conversion is consistent with the FPPA and the agencies internal policies.